

Winslow Township School District Evaluation Committee Recommendation for Research Based Teacher Practice Evaluation Framework Provider RFP

1. List of Proposers:

Frontline Education

2. Costs of Proposals:

Frontline Education - Annual Cost

\$ 56,852.40

3. Criteria:

The following was the criteria used by the committee in evaluating the proposals:

The Criteria Used In Evaluating Proposals	Weighting Factor	Points
The points awarded range from 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest score and 1 being the lowest		
<p>1. Program Price: What is the price of the program proposed and its impact upon the district's operating budgets? Are the charges detailed in the proposal form realistic; i.e., health care costs, payroll taxes, management fee, etc.</p>	20%	1 to 5
<p>2. Management: Will the Vendor provide all services in a timely fashion to meet the Board's needs? Will a principal of the Vendor be available to attend Board meetings when requested? How is work distributed among the Vendor's staff, if there are principals and associates? Does the Vendor document a record of reliability and timely response? Does the Vendor demonstrate a track record of reliable and competent service? Does the Vendor document industry experience? Does the Vendor have a record of moral integrity? To what extent does the Vendor rely on in-house resources as opposed to contracted resources? Are the availability of in-house and contract resources documented? Does the Vendor document experience in performing similar work by employees and, where appropriate, by sub-consultants or subcontractors? Does the Vendor document oversight and mentoring of newer/inexperienced employees?</p>	30%	1 to 5
<p>3. Technical Criteria and Qualifications: Does the Vendor's Proposal demonstrate a clear understanding of the scope of services and related objectives? Is the Vendor's Proposal complete and responsive to the specific requirements of the Request for Proposals? Has the past performance of the Vendor's proposed methodology been documented? Does the Vendor's Proposal reflect that the Vendor is well versed in all applicable requirements and practices? Does the Vendor's Proposal provide the required equipment, specifically the panoramic video cameras?</p>	50%	1 to 5

4. Recommendation of the Winslow Township School District Research-Based Teacher Practice Evaluation Framework Provider Evaluation Committee:

Upon review of the proposal submitted, and based upon the RFP evaluation criteria, the committee concludes that Frontline Education's proposal is most advantageous for the Winslow Township School District. The following evaluation score resulted as scored by the evaluation committee:

Frontline Education	92.00%
---------------------	--------

One to five points are awarded by each member to each company in each of five categories. Then the weighted factor for each category is applied to points awarded. The maximum unweighted points that can be awarded in each category are five.

1. Frontline Education.: Frontline Education was the sole responder to the RFP. However the evaluation committee members believed that Frontline Education did meet the District's needs as outlined in the RFP. Frontline Education has experience providing the services requested, and therefore scored well in both the Technical and Qualifications criteria and Management criterial Frontline Education achieved a weighted score of 92%.

The following details each members score as well as the summary for each responder.

The following details each member's score as well as the summary for each company:

Criteria for Evaluating the Proposals	Weighting Factor	Available Points	Each Vendor's Weighted Score	
			Frontline	
			Pts Awarded	Weighted Score
Committee Member: Dr. Dorothy Carcamo				
1. Cost Criteria	20%	5	4	16
2. Technical Criteria and Qualifications	50%	5	5	50
3. Management Criteria	30%	5	5	30
				0
				0
Totals:	100%	15	14	96
Committee Member: Ms. Karen Loney				
1. Cost Criteria	20%	5	4	16
2. Technical Criteria and Qualifications	50%	5	5	50
3. Management Criteria	30%	5	5	30
				0
				0
Totals:	100%	15	14	96
Committee Member: Ms. M. Nisula				
1. Cost Criteria	20%	5	5	20
2. Technical Criteria and Qualifications	50%	5	4	40
3. Management Criteria	30%	5	4	24
				0
				0
Totals:	100%	15	13	84
Summary				
1. Cost Criteria	20%	15	13	17.33
2. Technical Criteria and Qualifications	50%	15	14	46.67
3. Management Criteria	30%	15	14	28
Totals:	100%			92.00